

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

FRIDAY, THE 23RD NOVEMBER 2007 / 2ND AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 34631 of 2006(W)

PETITIONERS:

1. JOY JOSEPH,
KANICHIRAYIL, CHOTTUPARA P.O.,
KALLAR, IDUKKI-685 552.
2. SINDHU PRASAD,
SIVA VILASAM (B.NO.236), CHOTTUPARA P.O.,
K.P. COLONY, IDUKKI.
3. S. VINODKUMAR,
SREEKRISHNA VILASAM, CHOTTUPARA P.O.,
KALLAR, IDUKKI-685 552.
4. T.V. PONNAPPAN,
THAIKKERIL HOUSE, RAMAKKALMEDU P.O.,
KALLAR, IDUKKI-685 552.
5. K. KRISHNAN NAIR,
BLOCK NO.544, CHOTTUPARA P.O.,
KALLAR, IDUKKI-685 552.

BY ADV. SRI.BLAZE K.JOSE,
ADV. SRI.K.K.SUNIL KUMAR (IDUKKI).

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR,
DAIRY DEPARTMENT, THODUPUZHA, IDUKKI.

W.P.(C). NO.34631/2006:

- 2. DAIRY FARM INSTRUCTOR,
DAIRY EXTENSION OFFICE, NEDUMKANDOM,
PART-TIME ADMINISTRATOR, CHOTTUPARA KSHEEROLPADAKA
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY NO.I-76(D), APCOS,
CHOTTUPARA P.O., KALLAR, IDUKKI.**
- 3. CHOTTUPARA KSHEEROLPADAKA CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETY NO.I-76(D), APCOS, CHOTTUPARA P.O., KALLAR
IDUKKI, REPRESENTED BY ADMINISTRATOR.**
- 4. R. VIJAYAKUMAR,
PLOT NO.542, CHOTTUPARA P.O., K.P.COLONY,
IDUKKI DISTRICT.**

BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. M.K. PUSHPALATHA.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 23/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

W.P.(C). NO.34631/2006-W:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P.1: COPY OF THE LETTER DTD. 28/11/2006 IS SUBMITTED BY THE P.4.

EXT.P.2: COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE EMERGENT COMMITTEE DTD. 05/12/2006.

EXT.P.3: COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING.

EXT.P.4: COPY OF THE NEWSPAPER PUBLICATION IN DEEPIKA DAILY DTD. 22/11/2006.

EXT.P.5: COPY OF THE NEWSPAPER PUBLICATION IN MANGALAM DAILY DTD.
22/11/2006.

EXT.P.6: COPY OF THE NOTICE PUBLISHED BY THE R.2.

EXT.P.7: COPY OF THE NOTICE DTD. 22/12/2006.

EXT.P.8: COPY OF THE ORDER DTD. 22/12/2006 OF THE R.1.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

prv.

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

= = = = =

WP(C).No.34631 of 2006-w

= = = = =

Dated this the 23rd day of November, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Though, by interim orders, the Part-time Administrator could not have taken steps to conduct an election, it is pointed out that the superseded Committee's term has already expired. Under such circumstances, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition may be closed with a direction to the official respondents to have the election conducted.

In the result, this writ petition is closed directing the official respondents to have the election to the Committee of the Society conducted in accordance with law, within an outer limit of six months.

sha/

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN,
JUDGE.